arXiv:1512.07935v2 [math.DG] 18 Mar 2016

Regularized Riesz energies of submanifolds

Jun O'Hara* and Gil Solanes†

March 21, 2016

Abstract

Given a closed submanifold, or a compact regular domain, in euclidean space, we consider the Riesz energy defined as the double integral of some power of the distance between pairs of points. When this integral diverges, we compare two different regularization techniques (Hadamard's finite part and analytic continuation), and show that they give essentially the same result. We prove that some of these energies are invariant under Möbius transformations, thus giving a generalization to higher dimensions of the Möbius energy of knots.

Keywords: Riesz potential, energy, Hadamard regularization, analytic continuation, fractional perimeter.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53C65, 53C40, 46T30.

1 Introduction

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be either a smooth compact submanifold, or a compact regular domain with smooth boundary. We are interested in the *Riesz z-energy*

$$E_M(z) = \int_{M\times M} |x-y|^z \, dxdy, \tag{1.1}$$

where dx, dy denote the volume element of M. This integral is well-defined if $z > -\dim M$ and diverges otherwise. In the latter case we apply two techniques from the theory of generalized functions to regularize the divergent integral: *Hadamard's finite part* and *analytic continuation*. After showing that these two procedures give essentially the same result, we study the properties of the energies thus obtained. In particular, we show that $E_M(-2m)$ is Möbius invariant if M is a closed submanifold of odd dimension m, and also if M is a regular domain in an even dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$.

To put our results in perspective let us review some background. The first author introduced the *energy of a knot* K in [O1], with the aim to produce a canonical representative (the energy minimizer) in each knot type. This energy is given by

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{2L(K)}{\varepsilon} \right), \tag{1.2}$$

*The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25610014.

†The second author was supported by FEDER-MINECO grants MTM2012-34834, UNAB13-4E-1604 and the Serra Húnter Programme.

where

$$\Delta_\varepsilon = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \,:\, |x-y| \le \varepsilon\}. \tag{1.3}$$

This can be viewed as Hadamard's finite part of the divergent integral $\int_{K\times K} |x-y|^{-2}\, dxdy$. Indeed, Hadamard's regularization can be carried out as follows. First one restricts the integration to the complement of some ε-neighborhood of the set where the integrand blows up. Then one expands the result in a Laurent series in ε and finally takes the constant term in the series as the *finite part* of the integral.

Another approach to $E(K)$ was used by Brylinski [B] who defined the *beta function* $B_K(z)$ of a knot K by means of a different regularization method. First, given a knot (closed curve) $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, he considered the complex function

$$B_K(z) = \int_{K\times K} |x-y|^z\, dxdy, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

which is holomorphic on the domain $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -1$. He then extended this function analytically to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane with simple poles at $z = -1, -3, -5, \ldots$. Finally, Brylinski showed that $B_K(-2) = E(K)$.

It turns out that $E(K)$ is invariant under Möbius transformations of space (cf. [FHW]), and it is thus often called *Möbius energy*. Such a nice property makes it natural to look for similar functionals on higher dimensional submanifolds with the same invariance. For closed surfaces M in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Auckly and Sadun ([AS]) defined the following functional

$$E_{AS}(M) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-4} dxdy - \frac{\pi A(M)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\pi \log\varepsilon}{8} \int_M (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2 dx \right) \tag{1.4}$$

$$+\frac{\pi}{16}\int_M (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2 \log(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2 dx + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\chi(M), \tag{1.5}$$

where κ_1 and κ_2 are principal curvatures of M at x, and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic. The right hand side of (1.4) is Hadamard's finite part of $\int_{M\times M} |x-y|^{-4}\, dxdy$. The additional term $(\pi/16)\int_M (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2 \log(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2\, dx$ was added to make the resulting energy Möbius invariant, but it is not the only possible choice for this purpose, as was pointed out in [AS].

On the other hand, Fuller and Vemuri ([FV]) generalized Brylinski's beta function to closed surfaces and closed submanifolds of Euclidean space in general. For a closed surface M, they extended the domain of $B_M(z) = \int_M |x-y|^z dxdy$ by analytic continuation to get a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane with simple poles at $z = -2, -4, -6, \ldots$. They showed moreover that the residues of these poles are expressible as integrals of contractions of the second fundamental form of M. As for Möbius invariance, while the integrand $|y-x|^z dxdy$ is a Möbius invariant $2m$-form for $z = -2m$, it was unclear whether the regularized integral $B_M(-2m)$ would be invariant under Möbius transformations.

In this paper we begin by showing that Hadamard's finite part of the Riesz energy $E_M(z)$ coincides with the meromorphic function $B_M(z)$ where this function is defined. At the poles, Hadamard's finite part exists and equals the beta function $B_M(z)$ with the pole *removed* (see (3.12)). This extends Brylinski's result to any exponent z and to general dimensions. We also give a simple alternative description of the residues of $B_M(z)$ in terms of the volumes of extrinsic spheres of M.

Finally, we show that when $m = \dim M$ is odd, the energy $E_M(-2m) = B_M(-2m)$ is invariant under Möbius transformations of space. This gives the desired generalization of the Möbius energy in the case of odd dimensional submanifolds. For even dimensional submanifolds,

we conjecture that none of the energies $E_M(z)$ is Möbius invariant. We prove this conjecture in the case of surfaces.

The works mentioned so far deal with closed submanifolds, but it makes sense to consider (1.1) also in the case where M is a compact submanifold with boundary. In particular, we are interested in the case where $M = \Omega$ is a compact domain with smooth boundary. For convex domains, the Riesz energy has been considered in [HR] in connection with the statistics of electromagnetic wave propagation inside a domain. Besides, the Riesz energy is closely related to the so-called *fractional perimeter* of the domain (cf. e.g. [CRS, L]).

In the last part of the paper, we use the techniques mentioned before to regularize the Riesz energy of a smooth regular domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular we obtain a meromporphic function $B_\Omega(z)$ which at the same time is an analyitic continuation of the Riesz energy and of the fractional perimeter (except for a sign). We compute some residues of $B_\Omega(z)$ and give some explicit expressions for small dimensions. Finally, we prove that $B_\Omega(-2n)$ is invariant under Möbius transformations if (and only if) the dimension n is even. This generalizes the results obtained by the authors in the planar case (cf. [OS]).

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Professors Yoshihiro Sawano and Kazushi Yositomi for helpful suggestions.

2 Regularization of divergent integrals

Let us recall two techniques in the theory of generalized functions (or distributions) that are used in the regularization of divergent integrals. The reader is refered to [S, GS] for more details.

Consider the integral

$$\int_0^d t^z\,dt, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}$$

where d is a positive constant. It converges for $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -1$.

(i) For a small positive number ε we have

$$\int_\varepsilon^d t^z\,dt = \begin{cases} \dfrac{d^{z+1}}{z+1} - \dfrac{\varepsilon^{z+1}}{z+1}, & z \neq -1, \\ \log d - \log \varepsilon, & z = -1. \end{cases}$$

Hadamard's finite part of (2.1) is defined for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z\,dt = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(\int_\varepsilon^d t^z\,dt + \frac{\varepsilon^{z+1}}{z+1} \right) = \frac{d^{z+1}}{z+1} & (z \neq -1), \\ \displaystyle\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(\int_\varepsilon^d \frac{dt}{t} + \log \varepsilon \right) = \log d & (z = -1). \end{cases}$$

(ii) Consider the complex function

$$f(z) = \int_0^d t^z\,dt,$$

which is well defined and holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon \Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -1\}$. It extends by analytic continuation to the meromorphic function $f(z) = d^{z+1}/(z+1)$ on the whole complex plane with a simple pole at $z = -1$ with residue $\operatorname{Res}(f, -1) = 1$.

The relation between these two methods is given by

$$f(z) = \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z dt \qquad z \neq -1 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\lim_{z\to -1} \left(f(z) - \frac{1}{z+1} \right) = \lim_{z\to -1} \frac{d^{z+1}-1}{z+1} = \log d = \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^{-1}\, dt. \tag{2.3}$$

More generally, let $\varphi(t)$ be a smooth function, and consider

$$F(z) = \int_0^d t^z \varphi(t) dt$$

which is well defined if $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -1$. If $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -k-1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$t^z \varphi(t) = t^z \varphi_{k-1}(t) + h_z(t) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\varphi_{k-1}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\varphi^{(i)}(0)}{i!} t^i$ is the $(k-1)$-th degree Taylor polynomial of $\varphi(t)$ around $t = 0$, and $h_z(t)$ is an integrable function (on $[0, d]$). By setting that the finite part of a convergent integral equals the integral itself, and by linearity, we arrive at the following definition of Hadamard's finite part (cf. [S, (II,2;26)]

$$\text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z \varphi(t) dt = \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z \varphi_{k-1}(t) dt + \int_0^d h_z(t) dt \tag{2.5}$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\int_\varepsilon^d t^z \varphi(t) dt + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\varphi^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \frac{\varepsilon^{z+j+1}}{z+j+1} \right] \tag{2.6}$$

If z is a negative integer then $\varepsilon^0/0$ appears above and is to be replaced by $\log \varepsilon$.

On the other hand, by (2.4) and since $\int_0^d h_z(t) dt$ is holomorphic on z, the integral $F(z)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the complex plane. This function, which we denote again by $F(z)$, has (possible) poles at negative integers. The corresponding residues are

$$\text{Res}(F, -k) = \frac{\varphi^{(k-1)}(0)}{(k-1)!} \tag{2.7}$$

The relation between these two regularizations is the following. When z is not a negative integer, by (2.5) and (2.2),

$$\text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z \varphi(t)\, dt = F(z). \tag{2.8}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by (2.2), (2.3), and (2.5),

$$\text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^{-k} \varphi(t)\, dt = \lim_{z\to -k} \left(F(z) - \frac{\varphi^{(k-1)}(0)}{(k-1)!(z+k)} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Note that a log term appears in (2.6) exactly when $F(z)$ has a pole in z.

For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the functional $\varphi \mapsto \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z \varphi(t) dt$ defines a *distribuition*; i.e. a continuous linear functional on $C^\infty([0, d])$ with respect to the C^∞ topology. In fact, we will need the following stronger fact.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and consider, for z not a negative integer, the linear functional $u_z \colon C^\infty([0,d]) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$\langle u_z, \varphi \rangle = \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z \varphi(t) dt - \frac{\varphi^{(k-1)}(0)}{(k-1)!(z+k)}.$$

Let also

$$\langle u_{-k}, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{z \to -k} \langle u_z, \varphi \rangle = \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^{-k} \varphi(t) dt.$$

There exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$, such that, if $|z+k| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|\langle u_z, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n} \|\varphi^{(i)}\|_\infty \tag{2.10}$$

for every function $\varphi \in C^\infty([0,d])$.

Proof. The space $C^\infty([0,d])$ endowed with the family of semi-norms

$$p_n(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \|\varphi^{(i)}\|_\infty, \qquad n \geq 0$$

is a Fréchet space. Given $\varphi \in C^\infty([0,d])$, the function $z \mapsto |\langle u_z, \varphi \rangle|$ is bounded on the disk $D = \{z \colon |z+k| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Hence, by the uniform boundedness principle in Fréchet spaces (cf. e.g. Chapter 2 of [M]), there exist n, C such that

$$|\langle u_z, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n} \|\varphi^{(i)}\|_\infty$$

for any $z \in D$, and any $\varphi \in C^\infty([0,d])$. □

Finally, given a continuous compactly supported function $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ which is smooth in some interval $[0,d]$, one defines

$$\text{Pf.} \int_0^\infty t^z \varphi(t) dt = \text{Pf.} \int_0^d t^z \varphi(t) dt + \int_d^\infty t^z \varphi(t) dt.$$

In particular, the integral $\int_0^\infty t^z \varphi(t) dt$, which converges for $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -1$, can be extended to a meromorphic function.

3 Riesz energies of closed submanifolds

Let M be a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) submanifold of dimension m in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We are interested in the following integral

$$\int_{M \times M} |x-y|^z \, dxdy \tag{3.1}$$

which is absolutely convergent for $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -m$. It was shown, first by Brylinski in the case $m = 1$, and then by Fuller and Vemuri for general m, that (3.1) can be extended by analytic continuation to a meromorphic function $B_M(z)$ on the complex plane, called the *beta function*

of M, with possible poles at $z = -m - 2j$ where $j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0$. It was shown that the residues of these poles are expressible as integrals of complete contractions of the second fundamental form of M. Here we provide an alternative, somewhat more concrete, interpretation of these residues.

Furthermore, we compare the analytic continuation $B_M(z)$ with the following alternative regularization of (3.1), based on Hadamard's finite part regularization. When the integral (3.1) diverges, one can expand

$$\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^z \, dxdy \tag{3.2}$$

in a Laurent series (possibly with a log term) of ε. The constant term in the series will be called *Hadamard's finite part* of (1.1). In case $M = K$ is a knot, the first author used this method to introduce the so-called *energy of a knot* (or *Möbius energy*) $E(K)$ (see (1.2) or [O1]). It was shown by Brylinski that $E(K) = B_K(-2)$. Here we show similar relations for the other values of the beta function, not only in the case of knots, but also for submanifolds of any dimension.

Furthermore, we show that, for odd dimensional submanifolds, taking $z = -2m$ gives an energy that is invariant under Möbius transformations. This generalizes the fact that the energy of knots $E(K)$ is Möbius invariant (cf. [FHW]).

3.1 Analytic continuation

Our approach to Riesz energies depends on a careful analysis of the following functions. Define $\psi_{M,x}(t)$ by

$$\psi_{M,x}(t) = \mathrm{vol}(M \cap B_t(x)), \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The sets $M \cap B_t(x)$ are usually called *extrinsic balls* (cf. e.g. [KP]).

Proposition 3.1 *(i) There exists $d > 0$ such that, for each $x \in M$ the function*

$$\psi_{M,x}(t) = \mathrm{vol}(M \cap B_t(x)), \qquad 0 \leq t < d$$

extends to a smooth function $\varphi(t)$ defined for $t \in (-d, d)$ such that $\varphi(-t) = (-1)^m \varphi(t)$.

(ii) More generally, given a smooth function ρ on $M \times M$, the same conclusion as above holds for

$$\psi_{\rho,x}(t) = \int_{M\cap B_t(x)} \rho(x,y) dy.$$

Moreover, if $(\rho_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of smooth functions with derivatives of all orders converging uniformly to 0, then $\psi_{\rho_i,x}$ and its derivatives also converge uniformly to 0.

Proof. (i) It is clear that $\psi_{M,x}(t)$ is smooth at any $t \neq 0$ such that $\partial B_t(x)$ is transverse to M. Since M is compact, there is some $d > 0$ such that $\partial B_t(x)$ is transverse to M for every $x \in M$ and any $t \in (0, d)$. Given $x \in M$, take $\varphi(t) = \psi_{M,x}(t)$ for $t \geq 0$, and $\varphi(t) = (-1)^m \psi_{M,x}(-t)$ for $t < 0$. We need to show that $\varphi(t)$ is smooth at $t = 0$.

Let $\phi\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to M$ be a coordinate chart with $\phi(0) = x$, and let $\pi_k\colon S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be given by $\pi_k(u, r) = r \cdot u$. There exists a smooth map $\overline{\phi}\colon S^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R} \to S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi_n \circ \overline{\phi} = \phi \circ \pi_m$ (cf. [AK]). For each $u \in S^{m-1}$ let $g_u\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the second coordinate of $\overline{\phi}(u, \cdot)$. Since $g_u'(0) \neq 0$, the function g_u has a smooth inverse in a neighborhood of $r = 0$. Now, for small $t \geq 0$ one has

$$\psi_{M,x}(t) = \int_{S^{m-1}} \int_0^{g_u^{-1}(t)} \mathrm{jac}(\phi)_{r\cdot u} r^{m-1} \, dr du.$$

The right hand side defines a smooth function of t in a neighborhood of $t = 0$, and it coincides with $\varphi(t) = (-1)^m \psi_{M,x}(-t)$ for small negative t, since $g_{-u}(-t) = -g_u(t)$. Therefore $\varphi(t)$ is smooth at $t = 0$ and hence on $(-d, d)$.

(ii) The same arguments as in the previous case give

$$\psi_{\rho,x}(t) = \int_{S^{m-1}} \int_0^{g_u^{-1}(t)} \rho(x, \phi(r \cdot u)) \mathrm{jac}(\phi)_{r\cdot u} r^{m-1}\, dr du.$$

Hence, the previous proof applies to $\psi_{\rho,x}(t)$ as well. The last part of the statement follows by uniform convergence. □

Notice that, by the previous proof, for $\psi_{\rho,x}(t)$ to be smooth around $t = 0$ it is in fact enough that $\rho(x, y)|y - x|^{m-1}$ be smooth.

In the following we denote by $b_{M,k}(x)$ the coefficients of the Taylor series of $\psi_{M,x}(t)$ around $t = 0$; i.e.

$$b_{M,k}(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k}\bigg|_{t=0} \psi_{M,x}(t).$$

Corollary 3.2 *If $k - m$ is odd, then $b_{M,k}(x) = 0$.*

For small k, the coefficients $b_{M,k}(x)$ were given in [KP]. For instance, if M is a knot (closed curve) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\psi_{M,x}(t) = 2t + \frac{\kappa^2}{12} t^3 + O(t^5), \tag{3.4}$$

where κ is the curvature of M at x. If M is a closed surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$\psi_{M,x}(t) = \pi t^2 + \frac{\pi}{32}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2 t^4 + O(t^6). \tag{3.5}$$

where κ_1, κ_2 denote the principal curvatures of M at x.

Proposition 3.3 *For $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -m$,*

$$\int_{M\times M} |x - y|^z dx dy = \int_0^\infty t^z \int_M \psi'_{M,x}(t) dx dt.$$

More generally, if ρ is a smooth function on $M \times M$, and $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -m$, then

$$\int_{M\times M} |x - y|^z \rho(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty t^z \int_M \psi'_{\rho,x}(t) dx dt. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By the coarea formula applied to the function $u(y) = |y - x|$ defined on M we have

$$\psi_{\rho,x}(s) = \int_0^s \int_{\partial B_t(x)\cap M} \frac{\rho(x, y)}{|\nabla u(y)|} dy dt \tag{3.7}$$

$$\int_{M\times M} |x - y|^z \rho(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty t^z \int_M \int_{\partial B_t(x)\cap M} \frac{\rho(x, y)}{|\nabla u(y)|} dy dx dt, \tag{3.8}$$

where ∇ stands for the gradient in M, and dy denotes the $(m-1)$-dimensional volume element of $\partial B_t(x) \cap M$. Differentiating the first equation with respect to s yields the result. □

We deduce that (3.1) extends to a meromorphic function $B_M(z)$ on the complex plane with possible poles at $z = -m - 2j$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0$, as shown first by Brylinski and Fuller-Vemuri. The following description of the residues of these poles is new.

Proposition 3.4 *The meromorphic function $B_M(z)$ has the following residue at $z = -m - 2j$*

$$R_M(-m-2j) = (m+2j)\int_M b_{M,m+2j}(x)dx, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. This follows at once from (2.7). □

Example 3.1 The beta function of the n-dimensional unit sphere is given by (cf. [B, FV])

$$B_{S^n}(z) = 2^{z+n} o_{n-1} o_n B\left(\frac{z+n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right),$$

where o_k is the volume of the unit k-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, and $B(x,y)$ is Euler's beta function. It follows that if n is odd then B_{S^n} has infinitely many poles at $z = -n, -n-2, -n-4, \ldots$, and if n is even then B_{S^n} has exactly $n/2$ poles at $z = -n, -n-2, \ldots, -2n+2$.

3.2 Hadamard's finite part

Next we compare $B_M(z)$ with Hadamard's regularization.

Definition 3.5 For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$E_M(z) = \mathrm{Pf}. \int_{M\times M} |x-y|^z dydx = \mathrm{Pf}. \int_0^\infty t^z \left(\int_M \psi'_{M,x}(t)dx\right) dt$$

and call it the *regularized z-energy* of M.

Equivalently, if z is not a negative integer, and $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -k-1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$E_M(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^z dxdy - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{j}{(-z-j)\varepsilon^{-z-j}} \int_M b_{M,j}(x)dx \right). \tag{3.10}$$

For $z = -k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$E_M(-k) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \left(\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^k} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j}{(k-j)\varepsilon^{k-j}} \int_M b_{M,j}(x)dx + k\log\varepsilon \int_M b_{M,k}(x)dx \right). \tag{3.11}$$

Remark that $b_{M,k}(x) = 0$ if $k < m$.

The relation between Hadamard's finite part and regularization by analytic continuation is given next.

Proposition 3.6

(i) Away from the poles of $B_M(z)$, analytic continuation and Hadamard's finite part coincide:

$$E_M(z) = B_M(z), \qquad z \neq -m, -m-2, -m-4, \ldots$$

(ii) If $B_M(z)$ has a pole at $z = -k$, then

$$E_M(z) = \lim_{z\to -k} \left(B_M(z) - \frac{k}{z+k} \int_M b_{M,k}(x)dx \right). \tag{3.12}$$

Next we summarize the situation for knots and surfaces.

Proposition 3.7 *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth knot (i.e. closed curve). Then Brylinski's beta function has simple poles at negative odd integers. The residues at $z = -1, -3$ are*

$$R_K(-1) = 2L(K), \qquad R_K(-3) = \frac{1}{4}\int_K \kappa(x)^2 dx.$$

The regularized z-energies for $z = -1, -3$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_K(-1) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-1}dxdy + 2L(K)\log\varepsilon\right) = \lim_{z\to -1}\left(B_K(z) - \frac{2L(K)}{z+1}\right), \\ E_K(-3) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-3}dxdy - \frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\log\varepsilon}{4}\int_K \kappa(x)^2dx\right) \\ &= \lim_{z\to -3}\left(B_K(z) - \frac{1}{4(z+3)}\int_K \kappa(x)^2dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -5, z \neq -1, -3$, it is

$$\begin{aligned} E_K(z) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{z}dxdy - \frac{2L(K)}{(-z-1)\varepsilon^{-z-1}} - \frac{1}{4(-z-3)\varepsilon^{-z-3}}\int_K \kappa(x)^2dx\right) \\ &= B_K(z). \end{aligned}$$

The residues of $B_K(z)$ for $z = -1, -3, -5$ were computed by Brylinsky in [B] (here we took the opportunity to correct the coefficient of $R_K(-3)$ given there) for knots in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Proposition 3.8 *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth closed surface. The beta function $B_M(z)$ has simple poles at negative even integers. The residues at $z = -2, -4$ are*

$$R_M(-2) = 2\pi A(M), \qquad R_M(-4) = \frac{\pi}{8}\int_M (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2 dx$$

The regularized z-energy for $z = -2, -4$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_M(-2) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-2}dxdy + 2\pi A(M)\log\varepsilon\right) = \lim_{z\to -2}\left(B_M(z) - \frac{2\pi A(M)}{z+2}\right), \\ E_M(-4) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{-4}dxdy - \frac{\pi A(M)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\pi\log\varepsilon}{8}\int_M (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2dx\right) \\ &= \lim_{z\to -4}\left(B_M(z) - \frac{\pi}{8(z+4)}\int_M (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)^2dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -6, z \neq -2, -4$, they are

$$\begin{aligned} E_M(z) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(\int_{M\times M\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^{z}dxdy - \frac{2\pi A(M)}{(-z-2)\varepsilon^{-z-2}} - \frac{\pi}{8(-z-4)\varepsilon^{-z-4}}\int_M (k_1-k_2)^2dx\right) \\ &= B_M(z). \end{aligned}$$

The residues of $B_M(z)$ for $z = -2, -4, -6$ were obtianed by Fuller and Vemuri in [FV] (we corrected the coefficient of $R_M(-4)$). Using their expression for $R_M(-6)$ one can extend the previous formulas to $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -8$.

3.3 Möbius invariance

Here we study the Möbius invariance of these energies. We first discuss scale-invariance.

Lemma 3.9 *Under a homothety $x \mapsto cx$ $(c > 0)$, the residues of the beta function behave as follows*

$$R_{cM}(-k) = c^{2m-k} R_M(-k) \qquad (k \geq m). \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. We have the following Taylor series expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Vol}(cM \cap B_{ct}(cx)) &\sim \sum_{k \geq m} b_{cM,k}(cx) \cdot (ct)^k = \sum_{k \geq m} c^k b_{cM,k}(cx) t^k, \\ c^m \mathrm{Vol}(M \cap B_t(x)) &\sim \sum_{k \geq m} c^m b_{M,k}(x) t^k, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $b_{cM,k}(cx) = c^{m-k} b_{M,k}(x)$. The conclusion follows from (3.9). □

Proposition 3.10 *Under a homothety $x \mapsto cx$ $(c > 0)$, the regularized z-energy behaves as follows*

$$E_{cM}(z) = c^{2m+z} \left(E_M(z) + (\log c) R_M(z) \right), \tag{3.14}$$

where $R_M(z)$ is the residue at z if B_M has a pole there, and $R_M(z) = 0$ otherwise. Hence the regularized z-energy is scale invariant if and only if $z = -2m$ and $R_M(-2m)$ vanishes for any M.

Proof. Lemma 3.9 implies

$$\begin{aligned} E_{cM}(z_0) &= \lim_{z \to z_0} \left(\mathrm{Pf.} \int_{(cM) \times (cM)} |\bar{x} - \bar{y}|^z d\bar{x} d\bar{y} - \frac{R_{cM}(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_0} \left(c^{2m+z} \, \mathrm{Pf.} \int_{M \times M} |x - y|^z dx dy - \frac{c^{2m+z_0} R_M(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right) \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_0} c^{2m+z} \left(\mathrm{Pf.} \int_{M \times M} |x - y|^z dx dy - \frac{R_M(z_0)}{z - z_0} + \frac{c^{z_0 - z} - 1}{z_0 - z} R_M(z_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{w \to 0} (c^w - 1)/w = \log c$, the conclusion follows. □

In particular, if $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a surface, then

$$E_{cM}(-4) = E_M(-4) + \frac{\pi \log c}{8} \int_M (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2 dx. \tag{3.15}$$

Hence $E_M(-4)$ is not scale invariant unless M is a sphere. This corrects a statement in the conclusion of [FV].

However, if M is a closed submanifold of odd dimension m, then $E_M(-2m)$ is scale invariant. In fact it is Möbius invariant as we show next.

Proposition 3.11 *If $m = \dim M$ is odd, then $E_M(-2m) = E_{I(M)}(-2m)$ for any Möbius transformation I such that $I(M)$ remains compact.*

Proof. Since $E_M(-2m)$ is translation and scale invariant, we can suppose $0 \notin M$, and we only need to prove the statement when I is an inversion in the unit sphere. Let $\widetilde{M} = I(M), \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ denote the images by I of M, x, y respectively. Since

$$|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}| = \frac{|x-y|}{|x|\,|y|}, \quad d\tilde{x} = \frac{dx}{|x|^{2m}}, \quad d\tilde{y} = \frac{dy}{|y|^{2m}},$$

we have for $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -m$

$$\int_{\tilde{M}\times\tilde{M}} |\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|^z d\tilde{x} d\tilde{y} = \int_{M\times M} |x-y|^z \frac{1}{|x|^{z+2m}|y|^{z+2m}} dxdy.$$

Hence, for $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -m$, and using (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\widetilde{M}}(z) - E_M(z) &= \int_{M\times M} |x-y|^z \left[\left(\frac{1}{|x|\,|y|} \right)^{z+2m} - 1 \right] dxdy \\ &= \int_0^\infty t^z \int_M \psi'_{\rho_z,x}(t) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_z(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{|x||y|}\right)^{z+2m} - 1$. Let $d > 0$ be such that the functions $\int_M \psi_{\rho_z,x}(t)dx$ are smooth in $[0,d]$. Since M is odd dimensional, $E_M(z)$ and $E_{\widetilde{M}}(z)$ have no pole at $z = -2m$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\widetilde{M}}(-2m) - E_M(-2m) &= \lim_{z\to -2m} \big(E_{\widetilde{M}}(z) - E_M(z) \big) \\ &= \lim_{z\to -2m} \mathrm{Pf}. \int_0^d t^z \int_M \psi'_{\rho_z,x}(t) dx dt + \lim_{z\to -2m} \int_d^\infty t^z \int_M \psi'_{\rho_z,x}(t) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

The second term vanishes since ρ_z converges uniformly to 0 as $z \to -2m$. Since $\psi^{(2m)}_{\rho_z,x}(0) = 0$, taking u_z as in Lemma 2.1 with $k = 2m$, we have

$$E_{\widetilde{M}}(-2m) - E_M(-2m) = \lim_{z\to -2m} \langle u_z, \int_M \psi'_{\rho_z,x}(t) dx \rangle.$$

Using (2.10) and Proposition 3.1 (ii), we get

$$|E_{\widetilde{M}}(-2m) - E_M(-2m)| \le C \lim_{z\to -2m} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \int_M \|\psi^{(i)}_{\rho_z,x}\|_\infty dx = 0.$$

Indeed, since ρ_z and its derivatives converge uniformly to 0 as $z \to -2m$, the function $\psi_{\rho_z,x}(t)$ and its derivatives also converge uniformly to 0. □

Conjecture 3.12 *The regularized energy $E_M(-2m)$ is not scale invariant if $m = \dim M$ is even; i.e. there exists M such that $R_M(-2m) \neq 0$ if m is even.*

In particular we conjecture that $E_M(z)$ is a Möbius invariant only if $z = -2m$ and $m = \dim M$ is odd. Note that the case of spheres discussed in Example 3.1 does not help in proving this conjecture. The conjecture holds for surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by (3.15).

4 Energy of regular domains

Next, we study the Riesz energies of compact domains with smooth boundary. As before, we regularize when necessary to get a meromorphic function which we call the beta function of the domain. We compute some residues and give some explicit presentations in low dimensions. Finally we prove that Möbius invariant regularized Riesz energies exist in even dimensional spaces.

4.1 Riesz energies

Let Ω be a compact body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{n}_x$ an outer unit normal to Ω at a point x in $\partial\Omega$. For $z > -n$, we consider

$$E_\Omega(z) = \int_{\Omega\times\Omega} |x-y|^z \, dxdy.$$

A closely related quantity is

$$P_\Omega(z) = \int_{\Omega\times\Omega^c} |x-y|^z \, dxdy.$$

This integral converges for $-n-1 < \mathfrak{Re}\, z < -n$, and is called *fractional perimeter* especially when $z \in \mathbb{R}$ (cf. [CRS]).

Lemma 4.1 *For $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -n$ and $z \neq -2$, the Riesz z-energy can be expressed by a double integral over the boundary:*

$$E_\Omega(z) = \frac{-1}{(z+2)(z+n)} \int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} |x-y|^{z+2} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle \, dxdy. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathrm{div}_x \left[\, |x-y|^z (x-y) \,\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\, (x_i - y_i)|x-y|^z \,\right] = (z+n)|x-y|^z,$$

we have

$$\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} |x-y|^z \, dxdy = \frac{1}{z+n} \int_\Omega \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle x-y, \boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle |x-y|^z dxdy. \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, since

$$\mathrm{div}_y \left[|x-y|^{z+2} \boldsymbol{n}_x \right] = \langle \nabla_y |x-y|^{z+2}, \boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle + |x-y|^{z+2} \mathrm{div}_y \boldsymbol{n}_x = (z+2)\langle |x-y|^z (y-x), \boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle,$$

we find

$$\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} |x-y|^z \, dxdy = \frac{1}{z+n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_\Omega \langle x-y, \boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle |x-y|^z dydx \tag{4.3}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{(z+2)(z+n)} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} |x-y|^{z+2} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dydx. \tag{4.4}$$

□

With a similar argument one shows that for $-n-1 < \mathfrak{Re}\, z < -n$,

$$P_\Omega(z) = \frac{1}{(z+2)(z+n)} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} |y-x|^{z+2} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dydx.$$

i.e. the right hand side of (4.1) gives $-P_\Omega(z)$ if $-n-1 < \mathfrak{Re}\, z < -n$ and $E_\Omega(z)$ when $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -n$.

4.2 Regularization

In order to extend $E_\Omega(z)$ to the whole complex plane we follow a similar but not identical procedure as in the case of closed sumbanifolds.

Lemma 4.2 *There exists $d > 0$ such that the function*

$$\psi_\Omega(t) = \int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\cap\Delta_t} dxdy$$

is smooth on $[0, d]$. Moreover,

$$\psi_\Omega(t) = \frac{o_{n-1}}{n} t^n V(\Omega) - \frac{o_{n-2}}{(n+1)(n-1)} t^{n+1} A(\partial\Omega) + O(t^{n+3}). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Let $\delta_x = 1$ if $x \in \Omega$ and $\delta_x = 0$ otherwise. Given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial\Omega$, it is easy to see that the number of points of intersection between the segment $[x, y]$ and $\partial\Omega$ is

$$\#([x, y] \cap \partial\Omega) = 2\chi([x, y] \cap \Omega) - \delta_x - \delta_y,$$

where χ denotes the Euler characteristic; i.e. the number of connected components. It follows that

$$\delta_x\delta_y = \chi([x, y] \cap \Omega) - \frac{1}{2}\#([x, y] \cap \partial\Omega) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(x, y)^2,$$

where $\lambda(x, y) = \delta_x - \delta_y$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\Omega(t) &= \int_{\Delta_t} \delta_x\delta_y \, dxdy \\ &= \int_{\Delta_t} \chi([x, y] \cap \Omega) - \#([x, y] \cap \partial\Omega) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\#([x, y] \cap \partial\Omega) - \lambda(x, y)^2\right) dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We compute the latter integral termwise.

With a similar procedure as in the proof of [OS, Proposition 3.12], it is easy to use Blaschke's principal kinematic formula to show

$$\int_{\Delta_t} \chi([x, y] \cap \Omega)dxdy = \frac{o_{n-1}\, t^n}{n} V(\Omega) + \frac{o_{n-2}\; t^{n+1}}{(n+1)(n-1)} A(\partial\Omega).$$

$$\int_{\Delta_t} \#([x, y] \cap \partial\Omega)dxdy = 2\frac{o_{n-2}\; t^{n+1}}{(n+1)(n-1)} A(\partial\Omega).$$

The right hand sides of these two equations are clearly smooth on t. To compute the remaining part of (4.6) we proceed similarly as in the proof of [OS, Proposition 3.13]. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the space of oriented lines in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $d\ell$ the rigid motion invariant measure in this space, suitably normalized. We describe each pair x, y by the line ℓ through them and two arc-length parameters r, s. Then, by the Blaschke-Petkantshin formulas,

$$dxdy = (s - r)^{n-1} dsdrd\ell$$

where ℓ is oriented so that $s > r$. For $p \in \ell \cap \partial\Omega$ we denote by $\sigma(p)$ the sign of the intersection (i.e. $\sigma(p) = 1$ if ℓ enters Ω at p and $\sigma(p) = -1$ otherwise.) It is easy to see (cf. [OS, (21)])

$$\#([x, y] \cap \partial\Omega) - \lambda(x, y)^2 = -\sum_{p,q\in[x,y]\cap\partial\Omega} \sigma(p)\sigma(q)$$

where the sum runs over all *ordered* pairs of *distinct* points. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_t} \#([x,y]\cap\partial\Omega) - \lambda(x,y)^2 dxdy \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{L}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty - \sum_{p,q\in[x,y]\cap\partial\Omega} \sigma(p)\sigma(q)(s-r)^{n-1}\mathbf{1}(s-r<t) ds dr d\ell \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{L}} - \sum_{p,q\in\ell\cap\partial\Omega} \sigma(p)\sigma(q)\frac{1}{n}\left(t^n(t-|p-q|) + \frac{|p-q|^{n+1}-t^{n+1}}{n+1}\right)\mathbf{1}(|p-q|<t) d\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

By the results of [P, Section 2], for any measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \sum_{p,q\in\ell\cap\partial\Omega} \sigma(p)\sigma(q) f(p,q) d\ell = \int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} f(x,y)\frac{\sin\theta_x \sin\theta_y}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dxdy$$

where $\theta_x, \theta_y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ are the angles between $\partial\Omega$ and the oriented line through x, y. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_t} \#([x,y]\cap\partial\Omega) - \lambda(x,y)^2 dxdy \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega\cap\Delta_t} \left(t^n(t-|y-x|) + \frac{|y-x|^{n+1}-t^{n+1}}{n+1}\right)\frac{\sin\theta_x\sin\theta_y}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dxdy. \quad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that $\sin\theta_x \sin\theta_y$ is a smooth function on $\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega$. By the remark after Proposition 3.1, the right hand side of (4.7) is smooth in some interval $[0,d]$. The last part of the statement follows since (4.7) is $O(t^{n+3})$. □

Given any $z\in\mathbb{C}$, by the coarea formula, one shows as in Proposition 3.3,

$$\int_{\Omega\times\Omega\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^z dxdy = \int_\varepsilon^\infty t^z \psi_\Omega'(t) dt, \qquad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Definition 4.3 *For any $z\in\mathbb{C}$, the* regularized z-energy *of a domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary is*

$$E_\Omega(z) = \mathrm{Pf}.\int_0^\infty t^z\psi_\Omega'(t)dt = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} |x-y|^z dxdy + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_\Omega^{(j+1)}(0)}{j!}\frac{\varepsilon^{z+j+1}}{z+j+1}\right), \quad (4.8)$$

where $k\in\mathbb{N}$ is such that $\mathfrak{Re}\, z > -k-1$, and $\varepsilon^0/0$ is to be replaced by $\log\varepsilon$ in case $z\in\mathbb{Z}, z<0$.

As before, there is a meromorphic function $B_\Omega(z)$ which coincides with $E_\Omega(z)$ away from its poles, which are located at the negative integers $z=-k$ such that $\psi_\Omega^{(k+1)}(0)\neq 0$. We call B_Ω the *beta function* of Ω. As before

$$E_\Omega(-k) = \lim_{z\to -k}\left(B_\Omega(z) - \frac{1}{z+k}\mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega,-k)\right) \quad (4.9)$$

if $-k$ is a pole of B_Ω. Furthermore, the coefficients in (4.8) coincide with the residues of $B_\Omega(z)$. Indeed, by (2.7),

$$\mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega,-k) = \frac{\psi_\Omega^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!}. \quad (4.10)$$

In order to compute these residues, the following alternative approach, based on (4.1), will be useful. Let $\rho \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega)$ be given by $\rho(x,y) = \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle$. For $z \neq -n, -2$

$$E_\Omega(z) = \frac{-1}{(z+2)(z+n)} \mathrm{Pf.} \int_0^\infty t^{z+2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi'_{\rho,x}(t) dx dt. \tag{4.11}$$

Indeed, for $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -n$ this is (4.1). For z not a negative integer, the equality follows by analytic continuation. Finally, for $z \in \mathbb{Z}, z < 0$, it follows from (4.9).

Note also that $B_\Omega(z) = -P_\Omega(z)$ for $-n-1 < \Re\mathfrak{e}\, z < -n$, so the beta function is the analytic continuation of both the Riesz energy and minus the fractional perimeter.

Another consequence of (4.11), combined with Proposition 3.1 (ii), is the following:

Proposition 4.4 *The beta function $B_\Omega(z)$ can have poles only at $z = -n$ and $z = -n-2j-1$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0$.*

4.3 Residues

Next we compute the residues of the beta function, and we derive some explicit presentations of $E_\Omega(z)$ in low dimensions.

Lemma 4.5 *For $n > 2$, the pole of $B_\Omega(z)$ at $z = -n$ is simple and has residue*

$$\mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega, -n) = \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} |x-y|^{2-n} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle \, dxdy = o_{n-1} \mathrm{Vol}\,(\Omega) \tag{4.12}$$

where o_k is the volume of the unit k-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. For $n = 2$ this pole is simple with residue

$$\mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega, -2) = -\int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} \log|x-y| \, \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle \, dxdy = 2\pi A(\Omega). \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality in (4.13). The rest follows at once from (4.10) and (4.5). Since $\int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dy$ vanishes, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Res}(E_\Omega, -2) &= \lim_{z\to -2} (z+2) E_\Omega(z) \\
&= \lim_{z\to -2} \left(-\int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} \frac{|x-y|^{z+2}}{z+2} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dxdy \right) \\
&= -\lim_{z\to -2} \int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} \frac{|x-y|^{z+2}-1}{z+2} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dxdy \\
&= -\int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} \log|x-y| \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dxdy,
\end{aligned}$$

by dominated convergence (as $\log|y-x|$ is integrable on $\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega$). □

By (4.11), the other residues are given by

$$\mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega, -n-2j-1) = \frac{-1}{(n+2j-1)!\,(2j+1)} \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_{\rho,x}^{(n+2j-1)}(0)\, dx. \tag{4.14}$$

Proposition 4.6 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact domain bounded by a smooth hypersurface $\partial\Omega$. Given $x \in \partial\Omega$, let $\rho(y) = \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle$. Then*

$$\psi_{\rho,x}(t) = \frac{o_{n-2} t^{n-1}}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{8(n+1)} \left(3(n-1)^2 H^2 - 4K \right) + O(t^4) \right), \tag{4.15}$$

where $H = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i k_i$ is the mean curvature, $K = \sum_{i<j} k_i k_j$ is the scalar curvature, and $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ are the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega, -n-1) = -\frac{o_{n-2}}{n-1} \mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega), \quad \mathrm{Res}(B_\Omega, -n-3) = \frac{o_{n-2}}{24(n^2-1)} \int_{\partial\Omega} (3(n-1)^2 H^2 - 4K) dx. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We can choose orthogonal coordinates $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ so that x is the origin and M coincides locally with the graph of a smooth function $g(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$. Using polar coordinates $(r, u) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times S^{n-2}$ in the domain of g, we parametrize the points $y \in M$ around x by

$$y = h(r,u) = (ru_1, \ldots, ru_{n-1}, g(r \cdot u)) = \left(ru_1, \ldots, ru_{n-1}, -\frac{r^2}{2} k_n(u) + O(r^3) \right),$$

where $k_n(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i u_i^2$ is the normal curvature in the direction u. It is geometrically clear that

$$h^* \left(\langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dy \right) = dv_1 \cdots dv_{n-1} = r^{n-2} dr du.$$

On the other hand, the distance between x and y is given by

$$t = t(r,u) = \sqrt{r^2 + \frac{r^4}{4} k_n(u)^2 + O(r^5)} = r \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_n(u)^2}{4} r^2 + O(r^3) \right)$$

Then, it follows that $r = r(t,u)$ can be expanded in a series of t as

$$r = t \left(1 - \frac{1}{8} k_n(u)^2 t^2 + O(t^3) \right) \tag{4.17}$$

Now, using (t,u) as coordinates instead of (r,u), the area element of the plane $\{v_n = 0\}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-2} dr du &= t^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8} k_n(u)^2 t^2 + O(t^3) \right)^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} k_n(u)^2 t^2 + O(t^3) \right) dt du \\ &= t^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{n+1}{8} k_n^2(u) t^2 + O(t^3) \right) dt du. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\rho,x}(\varepsilon) &= \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_\varepsilon(x)} \langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle dy \\ &= \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-2}} t^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{n+1}{8} \left(k_n(u) \right)^2 t^2 + O(t^3) \right) du dt \\ &= \frac{o_{n-2}\, \varepsilon^{n-1}}{n-1} - \frac{\varepsilon^{n+1}}{8} \int_{S^{n-2}} k_n(u)^2 du + O(\varepsilon^{n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 we know that $\psi_{\rho,x}$ extends to an even (resp. odd) function when $n-1$ is even (resp. odd), so the latter $O(t^{n+2})$ is in fact $O(t^{n+3})$.

Finally, using e.g. [G, Formula (A.5)] one gets

$$\int_{S^{n-2}} k_n(u)^2 du = \frac{o_{n-2}}{(n-1)(n+1)} \left(3\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1\le i<j\le n-1} k_i k_j \right)$$

where $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}$ are the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ at x. Equation (4.15) follows. □

Theorem 4.7 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact domain with smooth boundary. The first three poles (along the negative real axis) of $E_\Omega(z)$ have the following residues*

(1) *For $n = 2$*

$$R_\Omega(-2) = 2\pi A(\Omega),\ R_\Omega(-3) = -2L(\partial\Omega),\ R_\Omega(-5) = \frac{1}{12}\int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa^2\, dx,$$

where L and A denote length and area respectively, and κ denotes the curvature of $\partial\Omega$.

(2) *For $n = 3$*

$$R_\Omega(-3) = 4\pi V(\Omega),\ R_\Omega(-4) = -\pi A(\partial\Omega),\ R_\Omega(-6) = \frac{\pi}{24}\int_{\partial\Omega} (3H^2 - K)dx, \qquad (4.18)$$

where V and A denote volume and area respectivley, and H, K are the mean and the Gauss curvatures of $\partial\Omega$.

(3) *For $n = 4$*

$$R_\Omega(-4) = 2\pi^2 V_4(\Omega),\ R_\Omega(-5) = -\frac{4}{3}\pi V_3(\partial\Omega),\ R_\Omega(-7) = \frac{\pi}{90}\int_{\partial\Omega} (27H^2 - 4K)dx, \quad (4.19)$$

where V_k denotes k-dimensional volume, and H, K are the mean and scalar curvatures of $\partial\Omega$.

The previous formulas allow to describe explicitly the z-energy for $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -n-5$ in dimensions $n = 2, 3, 4$ using (4.8) and (4.10). Next we carry this out for $z = -2n$.

Corollary 4.8 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact domain with smooth boundary.*

(1) *For $n = 2$, the regularized (-4)-energy is*

$$E_\Omega(-4) = B_\Omega(-4) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} A(\Omega) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} L(\partial\Omega) \right).$$

(2) *For $n = 3$ the regularized (-6)-energy is*

$$\begin{aligned} E_\Omega(-6) &= \lim_{z\to -6} \left(B_\Omega(z) - \frac{\pi}{24(z+6)} \int_{\partial\Omega} (3H^2 - K)dx \right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^6} - \frac{4\pi}{3\varepsilon^3}\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega) + \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon^2} A(\partial\Omega) + \frac{\pi\log\varepsilon}{24}\int_{\partial\Omega} (3H^2 - K)dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

(3) *For $n = 4$, the regularized (-8)-energy is*

$$\begin{aligned} &E_\Omega(-8) = B_\Omega(-8) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^8} - \frac{\pi^2}{2\varepsilon^4}V_4(\Omega) + \frac{4\pi}{9\varepsilon^3}V_3(\partial\Omega) - \frac{\pi}{90\varepsilon}\int_{\partial\Omega}(27H^2-4K)dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

In [OS], we introduced an energy $E(\Omega)$ for planar compact domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This energy is related to $E_\Omega(-4)$ by $E(\Omega) = E_\Omega(-4) + \frac{\pi^2}{4}\chi(\Omega)$. Indeed by [OS, Definition 3.11 and Proposition 3.13], one has

$$E(\Omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2}A(\Omega) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}L(\partial\Omega)\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{4}\chi(\Omega). \tag{4.20}$$

It was shown in [OS], that this energy is Möbius invariant. In the next section we prove the analogous result for any even dimension.

4.4 Möbius invariance

Proposition 4.9 *Under a homothety $x \mapsto cx$ $(c > 0)$, the residues of the Riesz energy behave*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{c\Omega}(-k) &= c^{2n-k}R_\Omega(-k) \qquad (k \geq m). \\ E_{c\Omega}(z) &= c^{2n+z}\left(E_\Omega(z) + (\log c)R_\Omega(z)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the regularized z-energy is scale invariant if and only if $z = -2n$ and the residue at $z = -2n$ vanishes for any Ω.

Proof. The arguments in Lemma 3.9 and Proposition 3.10 go parallel here. □

Example 4.1 Let $\Omega = B^n$ be the n-dimensional unit ball. Using Lemma 4.1 one easily gets the following expression (which appears also in [Mi, HR])

$$\begin{aligned} B_\Omega(z) &= \frac{2^{z+n}o_{n-1}o_{n-2}}{(n-1)(z+n)}\,B\left(\frac{z+n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dfrac{2^{z+n+1}\,\pi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{(z+n)\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)!\,\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}+n+1\right)} & (\text{ if } n \text{ is even}) \\ \dfrac{2^{z+2n+1}\,\pi^{n-1}}{(z+n)\,(n-2)!!\,(z+n+1)(z+n+3)\cdots(z+2n)} & (\text{ if } n \text{ is odd}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $(n-2)!! = (n-2)\cdot(n-4)\cdots 3\cdot 1$. Hence, the beta function of a ball has infinitely many poles at $z = -n, -n-1, -n-3, -n-5, \ldots$ when n is even, and exactly $(n+3)/2$ poles at $z = -n, -n-1, -n-3, \ldots, -2n$ when n is odd.

Theorem 4.10 *The regularized z-energy $E_\Omega(z)$ is a Möbius invariant if and only if $n = \dim\Omega$ is even and $z = -2n$.*

Proof. The regularized z-energy is scale invariant only if $z = -2n$ by Proposition 4.9. The example above shows that the regularized $(-2n)$-energy is not scale-invariant if n is odd. Propositions 4.4 and 4.9 show that $E_\Omega(-2n)$ is scale invariant if n is even. Therefore, we have

only to show that $E_\Omega(-2n) = E_{I(\Omega)}(-2n)$ if n is even, I is an inversion with respect to the unit sphere, and Ω is a compact domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary that does not contain the origin.

Put $\widetilde{\Omega} = I(\Omega)$. By Lemma 4.1, and proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 3.11, one gets for $\Re\mathfrak{e}\, z > -n, z \neq -2$

$$E_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(z) - E_\Omega(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z+2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi'_{\rho_z,x}(t) dx dt,$$

where

$$\rho_z(x,y) = -\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{n}_x, \boldsymbol{n}_y \rangle}{(z+2)(z+n)} \left(\left(\frac{1}{|x||y|} \right)^{z+2n} - 1 \right).$$

The rest of the proof goes parallel to that of Proposition 3.11. □

References

[AK] G. Arone, M. Kankaanrinta, *On the functoriality of the blow-up construction*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **17** (2010) 5, 821 – 832.

[AS] D. Auckly, L. Sadun, *A family of Möbius invariant 2-knot energies*, Geometric Topology (Athens, GA, 1993), Studies in Advanced Math, AMS, 1997.

[B] J.-L. Brylinski, *The beta function of a knot,* Internat. J. Math. **10** (1999), 415 – 423.

[CRS] L. Caffarelli, J.-M. Roquejoffre and O. Savin, *Non-local minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63** (2010) 1111 – 1144.

[D] J.J. Duistermaat and Johan A.C. Kolk, *Distributions: Theory and Applications*, Birkhaeuser (2010).

[FHW] M. H. Freedman, Z-X. He and Z. Wang, *Möbius energy of knots and unknots.* Ann. of Math. **139** (1994), 1 – 50.

[FV] E. J. Fuller and M.K. Vemuri. *The Brylinski Beta Function of a Surface*, Geometriae Dedicata (online 2015).

[G] Gray, A.: *Tubes.* 2nd ed. Birkhhäuser, Boston 2004.

[GS] I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions. Volume I: Properties and Operations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967

[HR] J. Hansen and M. Reitzner, *Electromagnetic wave propagation and inequalities for moments of chord lengths*, Advances in Applied Probability **36**, No. 4 (2004), 987 – 995

[KP] L. Karp and M. Pinsky, *The volume of a small extrinsic ball in a submanifold*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **21** (1989), 87 – 92.

[L] M. Ludwig, *Anisotropic fractional perimeters*, J. Differential Geom. **96**, No. 1 (2014), 77–93.

[Mi] R. E. Miles, *Isotropic random simplices*, Adv. Appl. Prob. **3** (1971), 353 – 382.

[M] S. Mizohata, *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press 1973.

[O1] J. O'Hara, *Energy of a knot*, Topology **30** (1991), 241 – 247.

[O2] J. O'Hara, *Energy of knots and conformal geometry*. Series on Knots and Everything Vol. 33, World Scientific, Singapore, xiv + 288 pages.

[OS] J. O'Hara and G. Solanes, *Möbius invariant energies and average linking with circles*, Tohoku Math. J. **67** (2015), 51 – 82

[P] W. Pohl, *Some integral formulas for space curves and their generalization.* Amer. J. Math., **90**, n.4 (1968), 1321 – 1345.

[S] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.